

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/034,973 12/20/2001		12/20/2001	Andreas Arning	DE920000058US1	2103		
25259	7590	07/17/2006		EXAMINER			
IBM CORI			VAN BRAMER, JOHN W				
3039 CORN DEPT. T81		RD. D BOX 12195	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•	NGLE PARK, NC	3622				
				DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/034,973	ARNING, ANDREAS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John Van Bramer	3622		

	١,	John Van Bramer		3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appear	rs on the cover shee	et with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPL	ICATION IN CONDIT	TON FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to c this application, applicant must timely file one of the f places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp time periods:	followi a Notic	ng replies: (1) an amo ce of Appeal (with ap	endment, af peal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the note event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired. 	this Ad	visory Action, or (2) the			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPI	a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of exte f the sh e later t	nsion and the corresport ortened statutory period	nding amount I for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in c filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	extens	sion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	b.	ut maine to the date of	filina a briaf	: will not be entered b	0001100
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require furthe They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE) 	er cons	sideration and/or sea			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	n bette	er form for appeal by	materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without cancelin	-	orresponding number	of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33		1 Coo attached Natio	o of Non Co	ampliant Amondment	(DTOL 324)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			e of Non-Co	Jinphant Amendment	(F 10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 			a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:				ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of f entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces 	d to ov ssary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections and was not earlier p	under appe resented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explar REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	nation	of the status of the c	laims after e	entry is below or attacl	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considere See Continuation Sheet. 	ed but	does NOT place the	application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s). (F	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14	449) Paper I	No(s)	
13.				Enjoyet	mle
				ERIC W. STA	MBER
				SUPERVISORY PATE	nt examiner

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Lowell discloses providing a reward to users who return to the first website, or interact with various monitored websites. Providing a reward for returning to a first website would include returning from a second website to a first website. Additionally, the applicants claimed threshold is so broad as to also be taught by Lowell, since Lowell discloses expiration times in which rewards can be earned. Therefore, if you don't follow a link you will not get a reward and if you don't return before the awards expiration you will also not earn the reward.